

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,942	11/01/2006	Rudolph Buhrmann	02655.0040	6895
22852 7590 04/15/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			DURAND, PAUL R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			04/15/2008 ·	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON DC 20001-4413

In re Application of: Buhrmann, Rudolph et al Serial No. 10/552,942 Filed: Oct. 14, 2005

Docket: 02655.0040

Title:

APPARATUS FOR IMPARTING RELATIVE MOVEMENT BETWEEN AN OSCILLATING MEMBER AND A

RAIL

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.181

This is a decision on the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment filed on Apr. 7, 2008. The petition is being considered as a petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.181(a). No fee is required. The petitioner requests a withdrawal of the holding of abandonment.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The present application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the Non-Final Rejection mailed on Sep. 19, 2007 which set forth a three-month period for reply. Notice of abandonment was mailed on Mar. 31, 2008.

Petitioner asserts that a timely response to the Non-Final Rejection was received on Mar. 18, 2008. The petitioner has supplied a copy of the amendment as well as a copy of an acknowledgement post-card receipt indicating a receipt date of Mar. 18, 2008. There was a petition of three-month extension of time fee paid according to USPTO Palm system. Furthermore, there was a copy of the response scanned in the IFW file dated Mar. 18, 2008.

As the petitioner has provided convincing evidence that a timely reply to the Non-Final Office action was received on Mar. 18, 2008, there is no abandonment in fact. Any inconvenience or delay caused to applicant is regretted.

In view of the above, the Notice of Abandonment mailed Mar. 31, 2008 is in error and is hereby vacated. The holding of abandonment is withdrawn. This application is being forwarded to the examiner in Art Unit 3711 for appropriate action.

Frederick R. Schmidt, Director

Technology Center 3700